

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

De

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,201	08/22/2000	Gerardo V. Noriega	19635-000210US	1112
20350 7:	590 07/30/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, VICTOR	
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- <b>'</b>							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Acti n Summary		09/644,201	NORIEGA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Victor X Nguyen	3731				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri df r Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u>August 2000</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Ti	his action is non-final.		ļ			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	on of Claims		.D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.				
, —	Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
• •	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.				
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	า).			
15) <u></u>	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachmei	nt(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
L	T						

Application/Control Number: 09/644,201

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I in figures 4 and 5A-5C has sub-species in figs 8A-8G

Species II in figures 6A-6F

Species III in figures 7A-7C

Species IV in figures 8A-8G has sub-species in figs 8H-8K

Species V in figures 12-14

Species VI in figures 16A-16E

Species VII in figures 17A-18B

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/644,201

Art Unit: 3731

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

vn

July 17, 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER